	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney	
<b>2 3</b>	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
<b>4</b> 5	WILLIAM FRENTZEN (LABN 24421) BENJAMIN TOLKOFF (NYBN 4294443) Assistant United States Attorney	
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102	
7 8	Telephone: (415) 436-6959 Facsimile: (415) 436-6753 E-mail: william.frentzen@usdoj.gov	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	
15	Plaintiff, )	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
16	v. )	TENDING TRIAL
17	JOSEPH RUIZ,	
18	Defendant.	
19	)	
20	This matter came before the Court on August 31, 2010, on a motion by the government to	
21	detain defendant Ruiz. Defendant Ruiz was present and represented by Mikal Condon, Esq.	
22	Assistant United States Attorney William Frentzen appeared for the government.	
23	The government moved for detention, and defendant opposed detention. Proffers and	
24	arguments regarding detention were submitted by the parties at the hearing and the Court and	
25	parties had the benefit of the report and recommendation of Pretrial Services.	
26	Upon consideration of the facts, proffers and arguments presented, the Court finds by	

clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the community. Accordingly, the Court concludes that defendant must be detained pending trial in this matter.

The present order supplements the Court's findings at the detention hearing and serves as written findings of fact and a statement of reasons as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(1).

At the hearing, the government made a factual proffer regarding the facts of the case. According to that proffer, on March 10, 2010, at approximately 4:53 p.m. San Francisco Police Department officers were patrolling near 1839 Sunnydale Avenue, San Francisco, California. This location is within the Sunnydale Housing Development. The officers approached that location and heard a radio call for shots fired. Officers looked toward where they heard shooting was reported and saw an individual, Kevin Lobo, firing an assault pistol towards a crowd of people on Sunnydale Avenue. The officers observed defendant Lobo fire 3 to 4 shots in that manner, then fire an additional 2 shots straight up in the air. The officers drove closer, then exited their vehicle. Lobo half turned and saw the officers, then turned back and began running west.

Officers pursued and saw Lobo stop and stand with the assault pistol in his right hand.

After being ordered to drop the firearm, Lobo dropped the assault pistol on the ground.

Defendant Lobo was then arrested. Search of the area revealed multiple shell casings of both .25 caliber and 9mm caliber. Lobo's assault pistol was 9mm caliber.

While officers were taking Lobo into custody, defendant Ruiz approached with several other people behind him. The people following Ruiz were swearing at him. Ruiz was ordered by the police to move away from Lobo. While on the scene, officers received information from witness(es) that Ruiz was also firing a gun prior to anyone else firing a weapon and that Ruiz and another individual were shooting at each other in front of inhabited dwellings and close to a recreational center within Sunnydale. The .25 caliber shell casings were found in the area where Ruiz was seen shooting. Ruiz was detained on the scene. An officer could see a knife sticking

out of Ruiz's pants pocket. Upon pat down of Ruiz, the officer felt two objects consistent with marijuana in baggies. Upon searching, the officer recovered two baggies of suspected marijuana.

Ruiz was identified as coming and going from 1815 Sunnydale Avenue. Officers knocked on that door and Teresa Puente, mother of Joseph Ruiz, answered the door. Ms. Puente gave police permission to search and signed a consent form. Upon search of the residence, officers found in an upstairs bedroom closet 29 rounds of Remington .25 caliber ammunition in an ammunition box which holds 50 rounds. In the same room, officers also found a small amount of cocaine powder and an empty gun case. On top of the refrigerator in the kitchen of the residence, police found another empty gun case.

Lobo was transported to Ingleside Station where he was given *Miranda* warnings and agreed to talk. In substance, Lobo stated that he was a Norteno who was visiting his friend, Joseph Ruiz. Lobo stated that he heard an argument and gunshots and then ran outside. As he ran outside, he was handed the assault pistol by an unknown black male. He then admitted only to firing straight up in the air with the assault pistol.

Ruiz was also transported to Ingleside Station. He was given *Miranda* warnings and agreed to talk. In substance, Ruiz admitted that the marijuana found on his person as well as the ammunition and cocaine in his room were his. Ruiz stated that he had purchased the ammunition and that he had previously owned a firearm. Ruiz admitted to possession of the empty gun cases as well. Ruiz claimed that he didn't know anything about anyone shooting that day. The ammunition found in Ruiz's bedroom is .25 caliber Remington, the same as the two shell casings found on the ground where the witness(es) stated that Ruiz was shooting.

Both defendants had previously been convicted of felony offenses on that day.

Additionally, defendant Ruiz had previously been convicted of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence on that day. Neither the Vulcan firearm nor the Remington ammunition was manufactured in California.

The Court makes the following findings as basis for its conclusion that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the community as to defendant Ruiz. First, the Court considers the facts of the instant case in its determination regarding detention, although those facts are the least important consideration of each of the factors. Title 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(1) provides that among the factors the Court should consider are "the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence . . . or involves a . . . firearm . . . . " According to the government's proffer, on March 10, 2010, San Francisco Police Department officers located .25 caliber ammunition and two empty gun cases at the defendant's residence. At the time that the ammunition was located, defendant was a convicted felon. Defendant was interviewed by SFPD and admitted that he possessed the ammunition. There is also evidence that the defendant fired the ammunition in an unlocated firearm in a residential area. Therefore, the instant case involves a dangerous matter and involves a firearm.

Additionally, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(2) provides for the Court to consider "the weight of the evidence against the person." At this point, the government's proffer is strong. While the defendant is entitled to the presumption of innocense, the weight of the evidence as proffered by the government appears compelling for now.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3) provides for the Court to consider the history and characteristics of the person, including "the person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence for an offense under Federal, State, or local law."

In this case, defendant certainly has ties to the community and is a lifelong San Francisco resident. Other factors, however, weigh heavily for detention. Defendant had previously been

convicted of a crime of violence. He was convicted of Inflicting Corporal Injury on Spouse/Cohabitant in San Francisco Superior Court in 2000 as a misdemeanor offense. He was convicted of Inflicting Corporal Injury on Spouse/Cohabitant in San Francisco Superior Court in 2003 as a felon. Defendant has multiple DUI arrests with one conviction. Defendant has had his probation revoked and/or modified on multiple occasions, showing a poor ability to comply with conditions. Defendant has also had multiple bench warrants issued for him. Defendant claims a long history of drug and alcohol abuse, as well as some indication of mental issues related to an attempted suicide.

While the Court could set certain conditions to try to make the community safe, defendant has previously ignored the conditions of his probation on multiple occasions, as demonstrated by his modifications and revocations of probation. Defendant has also had difficulty appearing in the past which has resulted in bench warrants being issued, which demonstrates that he not amenable to following Court instructions. While defendant's family members are to be commended for their support of defendant Ruiz and appearing in court on his behalf, they also cannot guarantee defendant's compliance and appearance. The ammunition and the empty gun cases found in defendant's bedroom and on top of the refrigerator – along with personal use narcotics – were reportedly found in his mother's house. From that, it would appear that defendant does not necessarily comply with his family's requests of him.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(4) provides that the Court consider "the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release." Here, defendant is a person previously convicted of violent acts who is now charged with possessing ammunition related to a shoot-out in a residential neighborhood. Thus, defendant presents a serious danger to the community.

For these reasons, the Court finds through clear and convincing evidence that defendant Ruiz presents a risk of danger to other persons and the community that cannot be mitigated adequately by conditions of release.

1	\\\\	
2	Accordingly, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:	
3	1. Defendant be, and hereby is, committed to the custody of the Attorney General	
4	for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons	
5	awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;	
6	2. Defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with his	
7	counsel; and	
8	3. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the	
9	government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall	
10	deliver defendants to an authorized deputy United States marshal for the purpose of any	
11	appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
12	SO ORDERED.	
13	St. 10 D to #	
14 15	Dated: September 15, 2010  HONORABLE ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE United States Magistrate Judge Northern District of California	
16	Northern District of California	
۱7		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	11	